B4Ukraine

Jacek Olczak Chief Executive Officer Philip Morris International Inc. 677 Washington Blvd., Ste. 1100 Stamford, CT 06901 U.S.A.

July 3, 2023

RE: Philip Morris International Inc.'s business operations in Russia

Dear Mr. Olczak,

We are writing to follow up on our previous correspondence with Philip Morris International Inc. (PMI) dated 6th February 2023 regarding potential inconsistencies between PMI's obligations, as articulated in the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and the company's ongoing business operations and relationships in Russia that may contribute to, or be linked with, human rights harms. We have not received a response to our letter.

We are writing once again to formally notify PMI on the serious and increasing risks the company faces by continuing its operations in Russia that may amount to complicity in human rights abuses committed by Russia¹ and to urge you to:

- Immediately cease all operations and presence in Russia and completely exit the Russian market.
- Refrain from any future business, trade, or investment in Russia until Russia ends its war in Ukraine, territorial integrity of Ukraine is restored, and accountability imposed for war crimes and the destruction of Ukrainian infrastructure and property.
- Establish and implement comprehensive human rights due diligence measures for any responsible exit from or re-engagement with Russia.

It has been over one year since Russia invaded Ukraine and the devastating impacts continue to shock the global conscience and shake the global economy. Russia is violating international humanitarian law (IHL), including war crimes and crimes against humanity, through attacks on civilians and civilian infrastructure (e.g., mass executions, sexual violence, torture, forcible transfer of civilians). More than 24,000 Ukrainian civilians have been killed and injured and millions more have been forced to flee their homes, creating one of the largest humanitarian and refugee crises of modern times. In recognition of the severity of abuses, in March 2023 the International Criminal Court issued an arrest warrant for Vladimir Putin to answer war crimes charges.²

¹ Andrew Clapham and Scott Jerbi, "Categories of Corporate Complicity in Human Rights Abuses," March 21-22, 2001, <u>https://media.business-humanrights.org/media/documents/files/reports-and-materials/Clapham-Jerbi-paper.htm</u> (accessed May 4, 2023).

² International Criminal Court, "Situation in Ukraine: ICC judges issue arrest warrants against Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin and Maria Alekseyevna Lvova-Belova," March 17, 2023, <u>https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/situation-ukraine-icc-judges-issue-arrest-warrants-against-vladimir-vladimirovich-putin-and</u> (accessed March 22, 2023).

Moreover, recent developments in Russia point to an expanding universe of financial, legal, and reputational risks facing those left behind.

On September 21, President Vladimir Putin escalated the war by announcing a "partial mobilisation" of the Russian population. The accompanying legislation (<u>Article 9 of Federal Law No. 31-FZ</u>) mandates all organisations, including the more than 1,500 international companies that are currently operating on a full or limited scale in Russia, to conduct military registration of the staff if at least one of the employees is eligible for military service.³ They must also assist with delivering the military summons to their employees, ensure the delivery of equipment to assembly points or military units, and provide information, buildings, communications, land plots, transport, and other material means of support to the war effort.

A new decree issued by President Vladimir Putin on March 3, 2023, enables the Russian government to suspend shareholders' rights and implement external management in companies that don't fulfil state defence contracts under conditions of martial law.⁴ By specifying the process of appointing Russian government representatives to manage businesses that fail to meet state orders, the latest Decree effectively creates a scenario of "partial nationalization."

With new legislation introducing partial mobilisation, nationalisation, and potentially martial law in Russia, it is highly likely that corporations will be unable to prevent or mitigate negative human rights impacts, an obligation imposed on companies by the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. As such, continuing to conduct business in Russia entails significant legal risks for companies, including potential civil and criminal liability under comprehensive sanctions regimes and recent international jurisprudence holding corporations and their officers responsible for human rights abuses abroad.⁵ Companies face the rising risk of criminal liability for complicity in

³ Federal Law No. 31-FZ of February 26, 1997 "On mobilization training and mobilization in the Russian Federation" (as amended), <u>https://base.garant.ru/136945/</u> (accessed November 14, 2022).

⁴ Decree of the President of the Russian Federation No. 139 dated March 3, 2023 "On Certain Issues of Carrying Out the Activities of Business Companies Participating in the Fulfilment of the State Defense Order", http://publication.pravo.gov.ru/Document/View/0001202303030004 (accessed March 22, 2023). ⁵ International companies remaining in Russia are now at a greater risk of violating sanctions regimes as implementation of the legislation will likely involve transacting with sanctioned individuals or entities. Furthermore, new domestic civil and criminal cases against companies involved in violations of international law demonstrate the risk of significant liability for facilitating state-sponsored human rights abuses abroad (e.g., Lafarge case, Lundin case, Castel Group indictment, Nevsun holding, and Dassault Aviation, Thales, and MBDA France criminal complaint.) Victoria Riello and Larissa Furtwengler, "Corporate Criminal Liability for International Crimes: France and Sweden Are Poised To Take Historic Steps Forward," Just Security, September 6, 2021, https://www.justsecurity.org/78097/corporate-criminal-liability-for-human-rights-violations-franceand-sweden-are-poised-to-take-historic-steps-forward/ (accessed November 14, 2022); The Sentry, "Breaking: France Opens War Crimes Inquiry Focused on Iconic Food and Beverage Conglomerate," July 1, 2022, https://thesentry.org/2022/07/01/7216/breaking-france-opens-war-crimes-inquiry-focused-iconic-foodbeverage-conglomerate/ (accessed November 14, 2022); Rfi, "French technology firm charged over Libya cyber-spying," July 2, 2022, https://www.rfi.fr/en/business-and-tech/20210701-french-tech-firm-chargedover-libya-cyber-spying (accessed November 14, 2022); Preston Lim, "Canadian Supreme Court Allows Corporate Liability for International Law Violations," Lawfare, March 12, 2022, https://www.lawfareblog.com/canadian-supreme-court-allows-corporate-liability-international-law-violations

⁽accessed November 14, 2022); Sherpa, "Aiding and abetting war crimes in Yemen: Criminal complaint submitted against French arms companies," June 2, 2022, <u>https://www.asso-sherpa.org/aiding-and-abetting-war-crimes-in-yemen-criminal-complaint-submitted-against-french-arms-companies</u> (accessed November 14, 2022).

international crimes, which can be prosecuted by domestic courts outside Russia under the doctrine of "universal jurisdiction."⁶

On 24 February 2023, The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) suspended Russia's membership as a result of the war, calling on all actors in the international financial system to exercise extreme caution in all dealings with Russia.⁷ In practice, the decision means that all international banks will scrutinise all Russian payments, making financial transactions more expensive, lengthy, with no guarantee that the transaction will occur at all.⁸ Although FATF has not yet blacklisted Russia, it highlighted the consensus among its 36 member countries that "the Russian federation's actions represent a gross violation of the commitment to international cooperation upon which FATF Members have agreed to implement and support the FATF Standards."⁹ Previous practice shows that noncooperative behaviour is one of the reasons for FATF blacklisting. As a result, companies should examine and mitigate the high levels of risk attached to financial transactions with Russia and based on that risk, companies should reconsider all ongoing business operations related to Russia.

A recent report shows that Russia poses a "real threat to global financial integrity, as well as to national security more broadly."¹⁰ Additionally, the revelation by the Russian president confirming that the internationally recognized transnational criminal organization known as the "Wagner Group" is funded by the Russian government has brought to light a range of alarming risks related to money laundering, terrorist financing, and other financial crimes for businesses involved in or working with Russia.¹¹ It is now a distinct possibility that businesses continuing their operations and paying taxes in Russia may be providing financial support to the Wagner Group, a notorious paramilitary organization.

Companies may also be exposed to financially material risks through operational restrictions, such as limitations of future government contracts.¹²

Additionally, the Ukrainian government's <u>National Agency on Corruption Prevention</u> (NACP) is creating a list of "foreign companies that, despite the international recognition of Russia as the

⁷ FATF, "FATF Statement on the Russian Federation," February 24, 2023, <u>https://www.fatf-</u>

⁶ For example, ongoing proceedings in the US and France against the French multinational Lafarge for complicity in human rights violations in Syria. The Paris Court of Appeal, "La Cour d'appel de Paris confirme la mise en état de la multinationale française Lafarge pour complicité de crimes contre l'humanité commis par l'Etat islamique," May 18, 2022,

https://www.doughtystreet.co.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/Press%20release%20french%20version .pdf (accessed March 22, 2023); United States Attorney's Office, Eastern District of New York, "Lafarge Pleads Guilty to Conspiring to Provide Material Support to Foreign Terrorist Organizations," October 18, 2022, https://www.justice.gov/usao-edny/pr/lafarge-pleads-guilty-conspiring-provide-material-support-foreignterroris (accessed March 22, 2023).

gafi.org/en/publications/Fatfgeneral/fatf-statement-russian-federation.html (accessed March 14, 2023). ⁸ Liudmyla Slieptsova, "Russia's membership in the FATF suspended. What does this mean and how ruinous is this for the aggressor's economy?," *Mind*, February 27, 2023, <u>https://mind.ua/en/publications/20253993-</u> <u>russias-membership-in-the-fatf-suspended-what-does-this-mean-and-how-ruinous-is-this-for-the-aggre</u> (accessed March 14, 2023).

⁹ FATF (n 7).

¹⁰ 11 Themis, "Russia; Country Risk Report," June 2023, <u>https://themisservices.co.uk/country-risk-report-russia</u> (accessed June 26, 2023).

¹¹ Telegram, "Встреча Путина с военными и его заявления по поводу ЧВК "Вагнер," June 27, 2023, <u>https://t.me/rian_ru/207202</u> (accessed July 3, 2023).

¹² Venable LLP, "Do You Contract with State Governments? If So, Beware of Emerging State Sanctions' Obligations Related to Russia and Belarus," *JD Supra*, June 3, 2022, <u>https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/do-you-contract-with-state-governments-6537229/</u> (accessed November 14, 2022).

aggressor state and the introduced sanctions restrictions, continue to cooperate with it."¹³ These companies are recognised as international sponsors of war. The listed entities will be included into the World-Check database to protect the global financial sector from Russian sponsors of war. Since banks and insurance companies use World-check to assess risks, companies on the list will be limited in freely accessing personal and corporate finances. So far there are 30 companies on the list, but the NACP notes that it will be expanded with "international companies that provide the public and private sector with goods and services of critical purpose, as well as [those that] contribute to the Russian budget."¹⁴

Companies that maintain business relationships with Russia risk being perceived as supporting Russia's war effort. This could have a negative long-term impact on sales in other countries and attract investor scrutiny over adherence to ESG principles. The longer it takes for brands to extricate themselves from the situation, the more damaging it will be for their reputation.

In response to this unprovoked and unjustified war¹⁵ many other companies have already left Russia. According to the Kyiv School of Economics Institute's #LeaveRussia <u>company tracker</u>, PMI has decided to stay and continue its operations in Russia.

These activities in Russia risk enabling and financing Russia's violations of IHL and human rights law during the ongoing invasion and occupation of Ukraine.

Considering these risks and the company's continued presence in Russia, we would like to pose the following questions to PMI:

 On March 24, 2022, PMI announced concrete steps the company is taking to scale down its operations in Russia and its intention to exit the Russian market.¹⁶ However, in February 2023, PMI's CEO announced that it may never sell its Russian business.¹⁷ While we understand that PMI has a "duty to [its] shareholders to recover value,"¹⁸ the company also has a duty to act in accordance with the internationally recognised and accepted standards for business and human rights.

-How is PMI ensuring its compliance with the UNGPs, the OECD guidance, and other internationally recognised standards on business and human rights? -Does PMI recognise that it is operating in an aggressor state and that the UNDP requires companies in this case, in addition to respecting human rights and international humanitarian law, at a minimum, to assess, and avoid or mitigate its connection to the war efforts of the aggressor country to ensure that they do not exacerbate the situation?

¹³ NACP, "International Sponsors of War," <u>https://sanctions.nazk.gov.ua/en/boycott/</u> (accessed February 6, 2023).

¹⁴ NACP, "Companies from the NACP list of "International Sponsors of War" are now in the World-check database, used worldwide for reviewing counterparties," September 7, 2022,

https://nazk.gov.ua/en/news/companies-from-the-nacp-list-of-international-sponsors-of-war-are-now-in-theworld-check-database-used-worldwide-for-reviewing-counterparties/?hilite=sponsor+of+war (accessed February 6, 2023).

¹⁵ The UN General Assembly condemned Russia's "aggression against Ukraine" and demanded that Moscow "unconditionally withdraw all of its military forces from the territory of Ukraine within its internationally recognized borders."

¹⁶ PMI, "Philip Morris International Inc. (PMI) Announces Concrete Steps to Scale Down Operations in the Russian Federation and Its Intention to Exit the Market," March 24, 2022, <u>https://www.pmi.com/media-center/press-releases/press-details/?newsId=25011</u> (accessed July 3, 2023).

¹⁷ Oliver Barnes, "Tobacco group Philip Morris admits it may never sell its Russian business," *Financial Times*, February 22, 2023, <u>https://www.ft.com/content/656714b0-2e93-467b-92d6-a2d834bc0e2b</u> (accessed July 3, 2023).

¹⁸ Ibid.

-Which stakeholders has PMI engaged with in determining its policies and the decision to stay in the market?

- Has PMI considered all the circumstances and complexities of the war, including numerous human rights violations and war crimes committed in Ukraine in determining to continue operating in Russia?

2. We understand and agree that PMI has obligations towards its 3,200 Russian employees. These obligations are laid out under the UNGPs and in the wider international human rights legal and regulatory framework. As PMI notes: "We employ more than 3,200 people in Russia. We continue to support them, including paying their salaries, and we will continue to fulfil our legal obligations. We will continue to make decisions with their safety and security as a priority."

 Could PMI provide clarification on the specific policies, practices, and mechanisms it has implemented in order to minimize the risks and impacts on its employees?
How has the company applied heightened human rights due diligence to its operations in Russia considering the new Russian legislation requiring businesses to help conduct military registration, deliver the summons to its employees, and provide resources where required?

-Has PMI received any such requests, and if so, how has the company responded to them? -What is PMI doing to safeguard its employees from mobilisation?

-Have any of your employees been mobilised and, if so, what was PMI's role in the process?

3. The safety of employees is and should be a considerable point of concern and obligations for companies. But in light of the specific context of the illegal war on Ukraine, there are other options for the safeguarding of employees that can and have been used by other international companies in Russia. Many companies have offered relocation packages, or contractual clauses which condition the continued employment and safety of employees remaining in Russia. For example, if PMI had decided to sell its Russian business it could have included contractual clauses to ensure the continued safety of its employees, as previously exemplified by the actions of the French Publicis Groupe who employed 1,200 people in the country.

-Has PMI considered any of these or other options before deciding to continue operations in Russia?

4. PMI is one of the largest foreign companies still operating in Russia. In 2022, the company's annual revenue in Russia hit \$7.8bn, which constitutes an 8.41% growth compared to its revenue in 2021 (\$7.2bn). Based on the presently available data, PMI holds the top position in terms of revenue among all international companies operating in Russia. Considering its dominant position in the Russian economy, PMI is also a significant taxpayer in Russia. This could make the company indirectly involved in financing Russian aggression since corporate taxes make up a significant proportion of Russia's GDP. Therefore, taxes paid by companies who stay in Russia indirectly contribute to the war.

-How much tax has PMI paid in Russia in 2022 and 2023? -Can PMI confirm that its revenue in the Russian Federation increased to \$7.8bn in 2022?

5. Other companies have faced legal, administrative, and ethical challenges and still committed to, and exited, Russia. PMI has decided to stay in the country, even after over one year since the start of the war, over 80,000 reported war crimes, over 24,000 Ukrainian civilians killed or injured, and with the head of the Russian state indicted by the International Criminal Court for alleged war crimes, namely the abduction of Ukrainian children.

-Considering these developments and the rising number of reported human rights abuses and war crimes, has PMI considered fully exiting Russia so as not to be even indirectly or remotely associated with these crimes?

We request an urgent dialogue with PMI's relevant senior management and staff to discuss the company's ongoing activities and relationships in Russia and the associated risks to the people of Ukraine and the company. Please contact the B4Ukraine Coalition at <u>contact@b4ukraine.org</u> to schedule a call. We kindly ask for your response by 5:00pm CET, July 17th, 2023.

Sincerely,

The B4Ukraine Coalition

B4Ukraine

Jacek Olczak Chief Executive Officer Philip Morris International Inc. 677 Washington Blvd., Ste. 1100 Stamford, CT 06901 U.S.A.

RE: Philip Morris International's business operations in Russia

February 6, 2023

Dear Mr. Olczak,

We write to you as <u>B4Ukraine</u>, a coalition of Ukrainian and international civil society organizations working to curtail the financial resources enabling the Russian invasion of Ukraine. We expect companies to demonstrate opposition to Russia's war of aggression, public support for the people, democracy, and territorial integrity of Ukraine, and alignment with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs). At stake is not only the sovereignty and territorial integrity of a democratic Ukraine, but also the continuity of the rules-based international order and the prosperity of the global economy.

We request an urgent dialogue regarding potential inconsistencies between Philip Morris International Inc's (PMI) stated policies on human rights and the company's ongoing business operations and relationships in Russia that may contribute to, or be linked with, human rights harms.

We acknowledge that PMI has policies in place to guide the company toward ethical behaviour. According to PMI's statements, human rights are an "absolute and universal requirement—a requirement we are committed to upholding."¹ PMI reinforced this approach and its stated commitment to human rights with its Human Rights Commitment. According to the company:

"We are committed to business practices that respect internationally recognized human rights and we uphold the principles as enshrined in the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. We commit to respect the International Bill of Human Rights (consisting of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights) and the International Labor Organization's 1998 Declaration on Fundamental Rights and Principles at Work, and to endorse the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises as well as the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Business Practices."²

¹ PMI, "Respect for Human Rights," May 18, 2021,

https://www.pmi.com/sustainability/operating-with-excellence/respect-for-human-rights (accessed February 1, 2023).

² PMI, "Human Rights Commitment,"

https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-source/pmi-sustainability/pmi-human-rights-commitmenta280c 4bd6c7468f696e2ff0400458fff.pdf?sfvrsn=ff4acb4_6 (accessed February 1, 2023).

PMI's commitment to human rights is further expressed in its Integrated Report 2020, The PMI Code of Conduct, and Responsible Sourcing Practices.³ The company reiterates this position in its Human Rights Roadmap, where it states:

"The responsibility to respect human rights applies in the various contexts where we and our business partners operate. We commit to conduct due diligence aimed at avoiding, mitigating, and remediating human rights impacts with which we are or may be involved."⁴

It has been almost one year since Russia invaded Ukraine and the devastating impacts continue to shock the global conscience and shake the global economy. Russia is violating international humanitarian law (IHL), including war crimes and crimes against humanity, through attacks on civilians and civilian infrastructure (e.g., mass executions, sexual violence, torture, and forcible transfer of civilians). More than 18,000 Ukrainians have been killed and injured and millions more have been forced to flee their homes, creating one of the largest humanitarian and refugee crises of modern times.

On September 21, President Vladimir Putin escalated the war by announcing a "partial mobilisation" of the Russian population. The accompanying legislation (<u>Article 9 of Federal Law No. 31-FZ</u>) mandates all organisations, including the 1,500 international companies that are currently operating on a full or limited scale in Russia, to conduct military registration of the staff if at least one of the employees is eligible for military service.⁵ They must also assist with delivering the military summons to their employees, ensure the delivery of equipment to assembly points or military units, and provide information, buildings, communications, land plots, transport, and other material means of support to the war effort.

This legislation entails new and significant legal risks for companies remaining in Russia, including potential civil and criminal liability under comprehensive sanctions regimes and recent international jurisprudence holding corporations and their officers responsible for human rights abuses abroad.⁶

https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-source/our company/code-of-conduct/english code of condu ct external online 180116.pdf?sfvrsn=f5c386b5 8 (accessed February 1, 2023); PMI, "Responsible Sourcing Practices,"

⁴ PMI, "Human Rights Roadmap,"

³ PMI, "Integrated Report 2020,"

https://pmidotcom3-prd.s3.amazonaws.com/docs/default-source/pmi-sustainability/pmi-integrated-report-20 20.pdf?sfvrsn=f17a78b7_6 (accessed February 1, 2023); PMI, "The PMI Code of Conduct,"

https://www.pmi.com/resources/docs/default-source/pmi-sustainability/responsible-sourcing-principles.pdf?s fvrsn=15c19ab5_14 (accessed February 1, 2023).

https://www.pmi.com/docs/default-source/pmi-sustainability/phil5925-human-rights-brochure_v8-5.pdf (accessed February 1, 2023).

⁵ Federal Law No. 31-FZ of February 26, 1997 "On mobilization training and mobilization in the Russian Federation" (as amended), <u>https://base.garant.ru/136945/</u> (accessed January 2, 2022).

⁶ International companies remaining in Russia are now at a greater risk of violating sanctions regimes as implementation of the legislation will likely involve transacting with sanctioned individuals or entities. Furthermore, new domestic civil and criminal cases against companies involved in violations of international law demonstrate the risk of significant liability for facilitating state-sponsored human rights abuses abroad (e.g., Lafarge case, Lundin case, Castel Group indictment, Nevsun holding, and Dassault Aviation, Thales, and MBDA France criminal complaint.) Victoria Riello and Larissa Furtwengler, "Corporate Criminal Liability for International Crimes: France and Sweden Are Poised To Take Historic Steps Forward," September 6, 2021, https://www.justsecurity.org/78097/corporate-criminal-liability-for-human-rights-violations-france-and-swede n-are-poised-to-take-historic-steps-forward/ (accessed January 2, 2022); The Sentry, "Breaking: France Opens War Crimes Inquiry Focused on Iconic Food and Beverage Conglomerate," July 1, 2022, https://thesentry.org/2022/07/01/7216/breaking-france-opens-war-crimes-inquiry-focused-iconic-food-bevera

<u>https://thesentry.org/2022/0//01//216/breaking-france-opens-war-crimes-inquiry-focused-iconic-food-bevera</u> <u>ge-conglomerate/</u> (accessed January 2, 2022); *Rfi*, "French technology firm charged over Libya cyber-spying,"

Companies may be exposed to financially material risks through operational restrictions, such as limitations of future government contracts.⁷

In response to this unprovoked and unjustified war⁸ many companies have left Russia. According to the Kyiv School of Economics Institute's (KSE) #LeaveRussia <u>company tracker</u>, PMI is scaling back its Russian operations.⁹ On March 9, 2022, PMI announced that it would be suspending its planned investments in the Russian Federation, including "all new product launches and commercial, innovation, and manufacturing investments," as well as scaling down its manufacturing operations in Russia.¹⁰ Following this, on March 24, PMI announced a concrete plan on how the company plans to fulfil these commitments. According to PMI, the company has:

"Discontinued a number of its cigarette products offered in the market and is reducing its manufacturing activities accordingly; Suspended marketing activities in the country; Cancelled all product launches planned for 2022 in Russia, including the launch of its flagship heated tobacco product *IQOS ILUMA*, originally planned for March 2022; Cancelled its plans to manufacture more than 20 billion *TEREA* sticks (for *IQOS ILUMA*) in Russia and the related ongoing investment of USD 150 million."¹¹

Prior to the invasion, PMI had significant operations in Russia. In 2021, Russia generated more than \$1.8 billion, around 6% of PMI's total revenue, and the Russian market accounts for almost 10% of PMI's cigarette and heated tobacco product sales.¹² PMI has 3 plants and 100 sales offices in Russia,¹³ and the Izhora plant, located outside of St. Petersburg, is the largest PMI production facility in the world. The company's overall investments in Russia amount to about \$2 billion, and it employs 3,200

July 2, 2022,

https://www.rfi.fr/en/business-and-tech/20210701-french-tech-firm-charged-over-libya-cyber-spying (accessed January 2, 2022); Preston Lim, "Canadian Supreme Court Allows Corporate Liability for International Law Violations," Lawfare, March 12, 2022,

https://www.lawfareblog.com/canadian-supreme-court-allows-corporate-liability-international-law-violations (accessed January 2, 2022); Sherpa, "Aiding and abetting war crimes in Yemen: Criminal complaint submitted against French arms companies," June 2, 2022,

https://www.asso-sherpa.org/aiding-and-abetting-war-crimes-in-yemen-criminal-complaint-submitted-againstfrench-arms-companies (accessed January 2, 2022).

⁷ Venable LLP, "Do You Contract with State Governments? If So, Beware of Emerging State Sanctions' Obligations Related to Russia and Belarus," *JD Supra*, June 3, 2022,

https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/do-you-contract-with-state-governments-6537229/ (accessed January 2, 2022).

⁸ The UN General Assembly condemned Russia's "aggression against Ukraine" and demanded that Moscow "unconditionally withdraw all of its military forces from the territory of Ukraine within its internationally recognized borders."

⁹ KSE, Leave Russia, "Philip Morris," <u>https://leave-russia.org/philip-morris</u> (accessed February 1, 2023). ¹⁰ PMI, "Philip Morris International Inc. (PMI) Suspends Investment and Activates Plans to Scale Down Manufacturing Operations in the Russian Federation," March 9, 2022,

https://www.pmi.com/media-center/press-releases/press-details/?newsId=24966 (accessed February 2, 2023). ¹¹ PMI, "Philip Morris International Inc. (PMI) Suspends Investment and Activates Plans to Scale Down Manufacturing Operations in the Russian Federation," March 24, 2022,

https://www.pmi.com/media-center/press-releases/press-details?newsId=25011 (accessed February 2, 2023). ¹² Chris Kirkham et al., "Special Report: Sanctioned weapons mogul who supplied Russia's troops has ties to Philip Morris," *Reuters*, April 21, 2022,

https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/sanctioned-weapons-mogul-who-supplied-russias-troops-has-ties-phil ip-morris-2022-04-21/ (accessed February 2, 2023); Interfax, "Philip Morris head does not expect exit from Russia before year's end," July 22, 2022, <u>https://interfax.com/newsroom/top-stories/81546/</u> (accessed February 2, 2023).

¹³ KSE (n 9).

people.¹⁴ Despite PMI's statements claiming that it will leave Russia by the end of 2022, the company still has a significant presence in the country.¹⁵

We understand that PMI has been trying to sell its Russian business, but that the process has been complex.¹⁶ However, Russian media reports that PMI does not intend to leave the country, despite its statements. The reports claim that PMI plans to "create a self-sufficient independent organization in Russia," continuing:

"At the moment, the company was able to solve the main issues with logistics, establish stable production, and the entire range of products continues to be regularly supplied to the Russian market. In total, Philip Morris employs more than 3,200 employees in the country. All of them continue to work, the company's management has not taken steps to reduce staff."¹⁷

Other reports speculate that PMI intends to follow through on its plan in a "leave to stay" manner, meaning that it will simply rebrand its products and find a way to remain in the country:

"I think Philip Morris is leaving on a leave to stay basis. I do not think that such a large company will lose such a huge market as the Russian one. In general, this is the company that entered our tobacco market back in the USSR. They have done a great job to set up their business here. Therefore, I don't think that all these efforts will be so easily abandoned."¹⁸

Furthermore, PMI has been named by some as one of the companies with the largest sales exposure to Russia within the European consumer staples sector.¹⁹ These activities are particularly concerning considering the amount of taxes that PMI pays in the country that directly helps fund Russia's illegal war. Three companies, British American Tobacco, Japan Tobacco International, and PMI, occupy more than 90% of the Russian tobacco market (with PMI's total share in the Russian market being approximately 30%),²⁰ and altogether these companies alone contributed to the Russian economy with at least \$7.3 billion in taxes, since the invasion of Ukraine commenced.²¹

¹⁷ Retail.ru, "Philip Morris остается в России," October 21, 2022,

https://www.cnbc.com/2023/01/31/after-supposed-russian-exodus-most-major-companies-have-yet-to-withdr aw.html (accessed February 3, 2023).

¹⁴ PMI, "Russia," <u>https://www.pmi.com/markets/russia/en/overview</u> (accessed February 2, 2023).

¹⁵ Business and Human Rights Resource Centre, "Russia: Philip Morris plans to exit market by end of 2022, CEO says," July 22, 2022,

https://www.business-humanrights.org/en/latest-news/russia-philip-morris-plans-to-exit-market-by-end-of-20 22-ceo-says/ (accessed February 3, 2023).

¹⁶ *Tobacco Reporter*, "'Bloody Complex': PMI's Tricky Exit From Russia," June 22, 2022,

https://tobaccoreporter.com/2022/06/22/bloody-complex-pmis-tricky-exit-from-russia/ (accessed February 3, 2023).

https://www.retail.ru/news/sdek-zapustil-dostavku-cherez-kitayskuyu-sotsset-wechat-21-oktyabrya-2022-2216 24/ (accessed February 3, 2023).

¹⁸ Tatyana Kosolapova, "Эксперт объяснил формулу ухода Philip Morris с российского рынка," *Gazeta Vzglyad*, July 22, 2022, <u>https://vz.ru/news/2022/7/22/1168959.html</u> (accessed February 3, 2023).

¹⁹ Elliot Smith, Nearly a year on from the supposed Russian exodus, most major companies have yet to withdraw," *CNBC*, January 31, 2023,

²⁰ Interfax, "Philip Morris considers business restructuring in Russia, including transfer of assets," March 24, 2022, <u>https://interfax.com/newsroom/top-stories/77325/</u> (accessed February 6, 2023).

²¹ James Warrington and Ben Stockton, "Tobacco giants help fund Putin's war machine with £7bn in taxes," *The Telegraph*, October 30, 2022,

https://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2022/10/30/tobacco-giants-help-fund-putins-war-machine-7bn-taxes/ (accessed February 3, 2023).

The Ukrainian National Agency on Corruption Prevention (NACP) is creating a list of "foreign companies that, despite the international recognition of Russia as the aggressor state and the introduced sanctions restrictions, continue to cooperate with it."²² These companies are recognised as international sponsors of war. So far there are 13 companies on the list, but the NACP notes that it will be expanded with "international companies that provide the public and private sector with goods and services of critical purpose, as well as [those that] contribute to the Russian budget."²³

Due to these apparent inconsistencies, we are requesting a clarification from PMI on whether it intends to follow through on its commitments and leave the Russian market. These activities risk enabling and financing Russia's violations of IHL and human rights law during the ongoing invasion and occupation of Ukraine and violating PMI's Human Rights policies and the company's commitment to abiding by the UNGPs. It remains to be seen how directly PMI will be impacted by the partial mobilisation and the heightened legal, regulatory, operational, and financial risks associated with companies being required to provide direct support to the internationally sanctioned Russian military.

We seek to understand how PMI has conducted and continues to conduct heightened human rights due diligence and how the findings of such a process has resulted in these continued business activities and relationships. As noted by the UNGPs:

...the more severe the abuse, the more quickly the enterprise will need to see change before it takes a decision on whether it should end the relationship. In any case, for as long as the abuse continues and the enterprise remains in the relationship, it should be able to demonstrate its own ongoing efforts to mitigate the impact and be prepared to accept any consequences – reputational, financial or legal – of the continuing connection.

In consideration of the above points and B4Ukraine's Declaration,²⁴ we request an urgent dialogue with PMI's relevant senior management and staff to discuss the company's ongoing activities and relationships in Russia, associated risks to the people of Ukraine and the company, and potential steps to prevent/mitigate these risks. Please contact B4Ukraine at <u>contact@b4ukraine.org</u> to schedule a call. We kindly ask for your response by 5:00pm CET, February 20th, 2023.

Please do not hesitate to get in touch if you require any further information.

Sincerely,

The B4Ukraine Coalition

²² NACP, "International Sponsors of War," <u>https://sanctions.nazk.gov.ua/en/boycott/</u> (accessed February 6, 2023).

²³ NACP, "Companies from the NACP list of "International Sponsors of War" are now in the World-check database, used worldwide for reviewing counterparties," September 7, 2022,

https://nazk.gov.ua/en/news/companies-from-the-nacp-list-of-international-sponsors-of-war-are-now-in-the-war orld-check-database-used-worldwide-for-reviewing-counterparties/?hilite=sponsor+of+war (accessed February 6, 2023).

²⁴ B4Ukraine, "About," <u>https://businessforukraine.info/about</u> (accessed January 2, 2022).